

| Meeting: Strategic Commissioning Board | | | |
|---|--|---|---------|
| Meeting Date | 07 March 2022 | Action | Approve |
| Item No | 10 | Confidential / Freedom of Information Status | Yes |
| Title | Integrated Commissioning Fund 2022/23 contribution final position | | |
| Presented By | Sam Evans, Executive Director of Finance | | |
| Author | Carol Shannon-Jarvis, ACFO, Bury Council and CCG Finance Departments | | |
| Clinical Lead | | | |
| Council Lead | | | |

| Executive Summary |
|---|
| <p>The purpose of this paper is to update the Strategic Commissioning Board (SCB) on the differential contributions made by both the council and the CCG to the Integrated Care Fund (ICF) in 2021/22 and seek approval for the changed phasing from being solely in 2021/22 to being transacted in 2021/22 and 2022/23.</p> <p>The ICF brings together the financial resources of the CCG and Council into a single fund enabling the Strategic Commissioning Board (SCB) to make decisions and recommendations (subject to reserved matters) based on the full picture of CCG and Council finances.</p> <p>A variation in financial contributions is allowed for in the terms of the s75 Agreement and financial framework that governs the ICF and is standard practice in these kinds of arrangements. As in previous years the SCB are asked to approve the final arrangements for the year regarding contribution variation.</p> <p>The proposed final variation for 2021/22 is no change to the overall value of contribution agreed in March 2021, just a change in phasing of contribution for 2021/22 and 2022/23. It was previously agreed that the Council would make an additional contribution of £4.5m during 2021/22. The SCB is asked to agree to a rephasing of this contribution so that an additional contribution of £2.5m is made by the Council in 2021/22 with the remaining additional contribution of £2m made in 2022/23.</p> <p>Any perceived risk regarding the Council refusing or being unable to increase their contribution in 2022/23 is mitigated by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Section 75 being a legally binding document • The Joint Accountable Officer and Joint CFO posts having authority in the Council • The Council being a public sector, publicly funded body which will continue to be part of the Greater Manchester system. • Any request to further alter the 2022/23 contributions would require full agreement from both organisations. |

| Recommendations |
|---|
| <p>The Strategic Commissioning Board is asked to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approve the phasing of additional contributions to the pooled fund detailed above being £2.5m by the Council during 2021/22 and £2.0m in 2022/23. |

| Links to Strategic Objectives/Corporate Plan | Yes |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| SO1 - To support the Borough through a robust emergency response to the Covid-19 pandemic. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| SO2 - To deliver our role in the Bury 2030 local industrial strategy priorities and recovery. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| SO3 - To deliver improved outcomes through a programme of transformation to establish the capabilities required to deliver the 2030 vision. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| SO4 - To secure financial sustainability through the delivery of the agreed budget strategy. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Does this report seek to address any of the risks included on the Governing Body / Council Assurance Framework? If yes, state which risk below: | No |
| | |

| Implications | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|----|--------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| Are there any quality, safeguarding or patient experience implications? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Has any engagement (clinical, stakeholder or public/patient) been undertaken in relation to this report? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Have any departments/organisations who will be affected been consulted? | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are there any conflicts of interest arising from the proposal or decision being requested? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Are there any financial implications? | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are there any legal implications? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Are there any health and safety issues? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| How do proposals align with Health & Wellbeing Strategy? | The ICF align investment and saving plans in an integrated way to our key health and wellbeing priorities. | | | | | |

| Implications | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| How do proposals align with Locality Plan? | The ICF support the locality plan by working in an integrated way to align investment and saving plans to our key priority areas of urgent care, intermediate care, mental health and learning disabilities. | | | | | |
| How do proposals align with the Commissioning Strategy? | The ICF aligns to the "Lets Do It" strategy by supporting joined up health and social care services through jointly developed investment and savings plans with a single view of Council and CCG wide budgets. | | | | | |
| Are there any Public, Patient and Service User Implications? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| How do the proposals help to reduce health inequalities? | The ICF supports the targeting of resources to the areas that most need them to close the inequalities gap. | | | | | |
| Is there any scrutiny interest? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| What are the Information Governance/ Access to Information implications? | None | | | | | |
| Is an Equality, Privacy or Quality Impact Assessment required? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| If yes, has an Equality, Privacy or Quality Impact Assessment been completed? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Are there any associated risks including Conflicts of Interest? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the risks on the CCG /Council/ Strategic Commissioning Board's Risk Register? | Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Integrated Commissioning Fund

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The purpose of this paper is to update the Strategic Commissioning Board (SCB) on the differential contributions made by both the council and the CCG to the Integrated Care Fund (ICF) in 2021/22 and seek approval for the changed phasing from being solely in 2021/22 to being transacted in 2021/22 and 2022/23.

2. Integrated Commissioning Fund

- 2.1 The ICF brings together the financial resources of the CCG and Council into a single fund enabling the Strategic Commissioning Board (SCB) to make decisions and recommendations (subject to reserved matters) based on the full picture of CCG and Council finances.
- 2.2 A variation in financial contributions is allowed for in the terms of the s75 Agreement and financial framework that governs the ICF, and is standard practice in these kinds of arrangements. As in previous years the SCB are asked to approve the final arrangements for the year regarding contribution variation.

3. Rephasing of contribution

- 3.1 The proposed final variation for 2021/22 is no change to the overall value of contribution agreed in March 2021, just a change in phasing of contribution for 2021/22 and 2022/23. It was previously agreed that the Council would make an additional contribution of £4.5m during 2021/22. The SCB is asked to agree to a rephasing of this contribution so that an additional contribution of £2.5m is made by the Council in 2021/22 with the remaining additional contribution of £2m made in 2022/23. This phasing of additional contributions complies with the Section 75 agreement to ensure contributions are balanced over the 4 year term of the arrangement. The impact of the contribution variations across years and by partner is shown in the table below.

| | 2019/20 £m | 2020/21 £m | 2021/22 £m | 2022/23 £m | TOTAL £m |
|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| Council | +10.5 | -15.0 | +2.5 | +2.0 | 0.0 |
| CCG | -10.5 | +15.0 | -2.5 | -2.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

- 3.2 This will allow the Council and CCG to achieve their statutory financial duties in 2021/22.

4. Risks and mitigations

- 4.1 Any perceived risk regarding the Council refusing or being unable to increase their contribution in 2022/23 is mitigated by:
- The Section 75 being a legally binding document
 - The Joint Accountable Officer and Joint CFO posts having authority in the Council

- The Council being a public sector, publicly funded body which will continue to be part of the Greater Manchester system.
- Any request to further alter the 2022/23 contributions would require full agreement from both organisations.

4.2 Any perceived risk around the disestablishment of the CCG during 2022/23 is mitigated by:

- The ability to novate arrangements to the successor NHS Greater Manchester Integrated Care organisation.
- The shared executive management team, finance team and organisational records either remaining in place in the locality post disestablishment or transferring to the successor NHS Greater Manchester Integrated Care organisation.

4.3 The increased Council contribution can be funded in the current financial year and is planned for within 2022/23 budgets.

5. Recommendation

5.1 The Strategic Commissioning Board is asked to:

- Approve the phasing of additional contributions to the pooled fund detailed above being £2.5m by the Council during 2021/22 and £2.0m in 2022/23.

Carol Shannon – Jarvis
Associate CFO – Bury CCG
March 2022